

# Miller and Walker Creek Basins

Public Meeting October 2, 2003

### Miller, Walker, and Salmon Creek Basin Planning Project Miller and Walker Creek Public Meeting

October 2, 2003 6:30 PM - 8:00 PM Washington State Criminal Justice Training Center 19010 1st Avenue South Burien, WA 98148

#### **AGENDA**

| 6:30 | Open House – Viewing of display boards and maps  |
|------|--|
| 7:00 | Presentation – Overview of planning process, work done to date, basin problems identified, and potential solutions |
| 7:40 | Question and Answer Session  |
| 8:00 | Conclusion – Opportunities for further comment and involvement   |

#### **Project Management Team**

#### **City of Burien**

Steve Clark Dan Bath

#### **City of SeaTac**

Dale Schroeder

#### **Port of Seattle**

**Bob Duffner** 

#### **King County**

**Curt Crawford** 

#### **City of Normandy Park**

Steve Bennett Roger Kuykendall

### **Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)**

Mehrdad Moini


#### Basin planning goal:

Management strategy, including regulations, programs, and capital projects to protect and enhance the Miller, Walker, and Salmon Creek basins.


- -Past studies
- -Field visits
- -Hydrologic modeling
- -Public comments

|                            | Miller Creek                                | Walker Creek  |  |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Size (acres)<br>Population | 4370<br>25,000                              | 914<br>4,700  |  |
| Similarities:              | Three regions – upland, ravine, delta       |   |  |
| Differences:               | More till More commercial Less ground water | More outwash<br>Mostly residential<br>More ground water |  |

#### Miller Creek Current Land Cover



#### Walker Creek Current Land Cover



Miller Basin Map (pdf file)

Walker Basin Map (pdf file)

#### Miller and Walker Creek Goals:

- Increase fish usage
- Improve water quality in the basin
- Control flooding and hazardous erosion in the stream and throughout the basin

### Miller and Walker Creek Goal:

Increase fish usage

### Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Problems Identified:

- sitings of several hundred coho, chum and cutthroat/year – potential number of returning adults is roughly 12,000 fish/year under pristine conditions
- lack of estuary and near-shore habitat
- lack of channel complexity in some areas
- possible fish passage barriers at culverts under 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. S
- Miller possible fish passage barrier at Miller Creek Regional Detention Pond
- Walker potential threats to large wetlands at the headwaters of the stream

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Problems Identified (con't):

- Miller -- current peak flows and flow durations are too high for good habitat to be established – lack of finer materials in stream bed precludes insect communities and spawning gravels
- FAA has general guidance discouraging large areas of open water near the airport that might attract birds to the flight path
- pre-spawn mortality in coho

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Potential Mitigation:

- create an estuary and near-shore habitat
- increase channel complexity
- Miller install baffles in the 1st Av S culvert
- Walker replace culvert at 1<sup>st</sup> Av S (Normandy Park doing this)
- Miller modify Miller Creek Regional Detention Pond to provide fish passage
- Miller reduce peak flows and flow durations to encourage formation of good habitat (more)

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Potential Mitigation (con't):

- Miller add gravel to stream to improve habitat
- Walker protect large headwater wetlands
- add riparian zone plantings
- require application of low-impact development measures that improve hydrology
- involve citizens in habitat restoration projects
- develop community education and stream stewardship programs

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Potential Mitigation (con't):

- maintain city-owned riparian property as undeveloped open space
- purchase undeveloped riparian properties from willing sellers for habitat restoration, as opportunities arise over time

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Implementation Challenges:

- estuary property is filled but not extensively developed; privately owned
- limited benefit to other habitat projects without estuary
- some property owners may not wish to participate in habitat restoration
- limited funding and access for improvements to habitat

# Miller and Walker Creek Fish Usage Implementation Challenges (con't):

- limited funding for acquisitions
- Miller reducing peak flows and durations will be costly and there is limited funding and space to construct public flow control facilities
- minimizing bird hazard to aircraft from increased fish usage

#### Miller and Walker Creek Goal:

Improve water quality in the basin

### Miller and Walker Creek Water Quality Problems Identified:

- historic data indicate levels of metals, especially zinc and copper, are high throughout basins
- areas of particularly high metals concentrations are Ambaum Regional Detention Facility and SR 518 in Miller Creek and along SR 509 in both Miller and Walker Creeks
- limited amount of recent water quality data
- lack of water quality treatment in basin

## Miller and Walker Creek Water Quality Potential Mitigation:

- collect additional water quality data to verify hot spots and to set baselines against which to measure treatment effectiveness
- increase water quality treatment requirements for future development
- Miller retrofit Ambaum Regional Detention Facility to increase water quality treatment
- Miller retrofit Hermes Depression to increase water quality treatment

(more)

# Miller and Walker Creek Water Quality Potential Mitigation (con't):

- retrofit highway drainage systems to provide water quality treatment
- retrofit existing developed areas with water quality treatment
- require application of low-impact development measures that improve water quality

# Miller and Walker Creek Water Quality Potential Mitigation (con't):

- develop community education programs to work on source control to reduce pollutant loadings to stream
- purchase undeveloped properties from willing sellers for water quality treatment, as opportunities arise over time
- improve current programs for identifying and enforcing water quality violations
- improve current programs for inspecting and maintaining treatment facilities

### Miller and Walker Creek Water Quality Implementation Challenges:

- need to balance water quality improvements with flood protection potential of facilities
- diffuse and varied pollutant sources, difficult to implement treatment and prevention of pollution
- limited funding for treatment improvements

#### Miller and Walker Creek Goal:

 Control flooding and hazardous erosion in the stream and throughout the basin

### Miller and Walker Creek Flooding and Hazardous Erosion Problems Identified:

- some small-scale localized flooding
- Miller past flooding adjacent to Hermes Depression (apparently related to pump system failures)
- Walker road culvert plugging from sediment accumulation at mouth

## Miller and Walker Creek Flooding and Hazardous Erosion Potential Mitigation:

- reduce flows or implement flood-proofing measures to address small-scale localized flooding
- Miller develop operations and maintenance plan for Hermes Depression pumping system to reduce flooding hazards, and potentially providing more effective storage (for flooding and/or water quality)

# Miller and Walker Creek Flooding and Hazardous Erosion Potential Mitigation (con't):

- reduce flows to reduce erosion and transport of sediment
- Walker increase culvert capacity to allow for normal sediment accumulation
- continue current programs for inspecting and maintaining flow control and conveyance facilities
- policy issue of regional vs. local problems, solutions, and funding
- limited funding to address problems

#### Next steps:

Consider your comments
Complete technical work
Prepare draft basin plan
More public review
Finalize basin plan
More public review
Approval by project partners
Agreements for funding